International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering Open Access
Research Paper Vol. 10, Issue.12, December 2022 E-ISSN: 2347-2693

Advanced Noise Mitigation Strategies in Image Processing: A Comprehensive Analysis and Optimization Study

Vikas Mongia[1]

[1]Department of Computer Science, Guru Nanak College, Moga, Punjab, India

Author's Mail Id: vikasmongia@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26438/ijcse/v10i12.4750 | Available online at: www.ijcseonline.org

Received: 30/Oct/2022, Accepted: 28/Nov/2022, Published: 31/Dec/2022

***Abstract* —** The advent of technology has shifted the representation of information from text to images. However, the image capturing process introduces noise, resulting in distortion and the generation of potentially misleading information. To address this challenge, it is essential to integrate noise handling mechanisms into existing image processing methods. Among these mechanisms, filtering stands out as a crucial strategy for mitigating noise effects. This research delves into the analysis of various noise handling mechanisms in the current context, aiming to identify optimized strategies for enhancing parameters in future implementations.

***Keywords*—** Image capturing, Noise handling mechanism, Filtering, Parameter enhancement

I. INTRODUCTION

Humans possess the innate ability to seamlessly integrate and incorporate all visual information, a feat challenging for machines to replicate in compiling visual data such as images and graphics. Therefore, understanding and visualizing the techniques of transmission, processing, and storage become paramount.

Digital image acquisition, as outlined in [1] and [2], constitutes the initial phase in designing a digital image system, employing various wavelength sensors. These sensors capture two-dimensional images of a three-dimensional visual world. The resulting two-dimensional images undergo quantization to obtain digital images. In instances where noisy images are received, they undergo degradation through different mechanisms. For instance, images may become blurred due to cameras being improperly focused. To address this, defocused cameras with blurring mechanisms are employed. A noteworthy development involves the utilization of defocused cameras to focus on outdoor images in foggy environments during winter, capturing images that would otherwise be blurred on a foggy day. The subsequent steps involved in image processing are enumerated below.

1.1 Image Acquisition

Utilizing digital cameras, visual information is captured by an imaging sensor in the form of images and videos, as detailed in [2]. Occasionally, the presence of noisy signals can lead to blurred images, with such degradation attributed to atmospheric factors such as fog or mist, termed atmospheric degradation.

1.2 Discretization/Digitization Quantization Compression

In the process of converting image data into a discrete form, compression is applied for efficient storage or transmission, as highlighted in [3]. This transformation involves converting the image from analog to digital form, where digital information offers superior clarity compared to analog images.

1.3 Image Enhancement and Restoration

To enhance the clarity of images, mechanisms for image enhancement are implemented, as discussed in [4]. These mechanisms not only increase contrast but also efficiently handle noise within the image, contributing to image restoration.

1.4 Image Segmentation

The process of dividing an image into meaningful and non-meaningful subparts is known as segmentation, outlined in [5]. Unnecessary parts are eliminated, and essential components are retained, resulting in effective image segmentation.

1.5 Feature Selection

Distinct features within images play a crucial role, necessitating their detection through feature selection, emphasized in [6]. This technique finds application in various domains, including remote sensing, defense surveillance, and medical image processing. Optimal features selected through this process are employed in identifying abnormal conditions, particularly for disease detection. This research integrates the aforementioned image processing techniques to identify abnormal parts within images. While the paper discusses noise handling mechanisms, it first examines various noises present within images. The paper is organized as follows: Section 1 provides a general overview of image processing mechanisms, Section 2 explores noises present within images, Section 3 conducts a literature survey of noise handling mechanisms, Section 4 presents a comparison table, and Section 5 concludes with a summary of the studied techniques.

1.6 Various Noises Present Within the Images

Noise, characterized as an abnormality within an image, disrupts the information contained in the visual data. This section delves into the discussion of noises introduced within images, along with their causes.

Salt and Pepper Noise: As elucidated in [7], this noise is introduced through the capturing medium, resulting in white spots within the image and a loss of image clarity. Pixel intensity values exceeding the threshold limit (ranging from 0 to 255) characterize salt and pepper noise, addressed through the application of a median filter.

1.7 Gaussian Noise

Described in [8], this statistical noise, with a probability density function identical to the normal distribution, stems from communication channels transmitting information. The similarity in probability density functions for both the original and affected images complicates noise detection. Mitigation strategies involve the use of median filtering and Gaussian smoothing.

1.8 White Noise

As identified in [9], noise is discerned by its power, introduced through the medium or capturing mechanism. Higher power implies diminished clarity within the image, and the noise power spectrum aids in identifying white noise. Detection involves analyzing the power spectrum of the images.

1.9 Periodic Noise

Explored in [10], this noise arises from electronic interference, reducing the power-to-signal ratio. Spatial domain dependency characterizes periodic noise, necessitating the incorporation of contrast enhancement strategies for mitigation.

Shot Noise: Outlined in [11], this noise is introduced in darker regions of the image, corrupting information and intensity values. The resulting loss of image clarity prompts the use of histogram equalization alongside median filtering techniques to combat shot noise. These represent a subset of noises introduced either through the medium or the acquisition mechanism. The subsequent section details the mechanisms employed to address such noise within images.

II. RELATED WORK

This section provides an analysis of various filtering mechanisms employed to address noise within images. The mechanisms used to combat different types of noise are outlined as follows:

Median Filtering: A widely utilized technique to address impulse noise, also known as salt and pepper noise, is median filtering. It stands out as one of the most common filters for addressing diverse noise types, prompting continuous modifications for enhancement [12]. Beyond the standard median filter, variations such as weighted median filter, iterative median filter, recursive median filter, directional median filter, switching median filter, and adaptive median filter are employed. The choice of filter depends on the complexity of noise within the image. Given the random nature of impulse noise, effective noise filtering involves using larger filters in highly corrupted regions and smaller filters in less corrupted regions, resulting in what is known as an adaptive median filter.

Mean Filter: Described in [13] and [14], the mean filter, also recognized as a low-pass filter, is utilized when the frequency of noise exceeds that of the signal. This filter eliminates noise by suppressing high-frequency signals during image transmission. While less commonly used compared to median filtering, the mean filter has limitations as it cannot address all types of noise.

Gaussian Filter: Discussed in [15] and [16], the Gaussian filter is employed to handle Gaussian noise and is categorized as a low-pass filter. It blocks signals with distorted values, allowing only continuous signals to pass through. This filter, also known as Gaussian smoothing, proves effective in tackling salt and pepper noise.

Fuzzy Filters: Introduced in [17], fuzzy filters operate on neural network-based approaches, defining membership for signals. Signals falling below the threshold limit are clipped, while those surpassing it are allowed to pass. This simple and easy-to-implement filter significantly reduces noise, particularly high impulse and random noise.

G. Comparison of Noise Handling Techniques

From the literature surveys, parameters for comparison are derived, and the comparison table is presented be

III. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND OPTIMIZATION STUDY

In exploring various papers on advanced noise mitigation strategies in image processing, several common trends and notable findings emerged. The literature encompassed diverse technologies and parameters, each presenting unique advantages and limitations. A recurrent theme was the emphasis on improving metrics such as Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) and Mean Squared Error (MSE) as performance indicators, showing in Table 1.

Several papers, including [18] and [20], employed morphological and filtering mechanisms for speckle noise reduction, resulting in enhanced PSNR and reduced MSE. However, a shared limitation among these methods was the lack of explicit consideration for entropy, indicating a potential area for improvement in future research.

Another notable finding was the exploration of algorithms, such as the LSE algorithm in [19], which demonstrated decreased Bit Error Rate and enhanced PSNR. Despite its promising outcomes, the potential for entropy enhancement remained an area for optimization. Moreover, the study on redundancy handling mechanisms in [23] showcased optimized MSE and PSNR, with the added potential for entropy enhancement. This suggests a correlation between effective redundancy handling and improved image quality metrics.

Table 1 Comparison of various techniques used to tackle noise from the image

Title	Technology	Parameters	Advantages	Limitations
[18]	Morphological image Cleaning method	PSNR, MSE	Improved PSNR, Reduced MSE	Lack of consideration for entropy
[19]	LSE algorithm	Bit error rate, PSNR	Decreased Bit error rate, Enhanced PSNR	Potential for entropy enhancement
[20]	Filtering mechanism for speckle noise handling	PSNR, RMSE	Elevated PSNR, Lowered RMSE	Absence of contrast enhancement leading to entropy enhancement
[21]	Fuzzy-based algorithm for noise removal	PSNR, MSE	Optimized PSNR and MSE	Possibility of merging with histogram equalization for entropy enhancement
[7]	Filtering mechanism for noise removal from high-density images	MSE	Substantially reduced MSE	Non-optimized PSNR
[22]	Adaptive median filter	MSE, PSNR	Enhanced PSNR, Reduced MSE	Entropy not optimized, indicating the degree of pixel relationship
[8]	Watermarking using DCT for attack handling	Reliability	Improved Reliability	No specified image enhancement mechanism
[23]	Redundancy handling mechanism	MSE, PSNR	Optimized MSE and PSNR	Potential for entropy enhancement
[24]	Noise removal mechanism from digital image	MSE	Reduced MSE	PSNR and entropy not considered
[25]	Filter to tackle salt and pepper noise from the digital image	MSE, PSNR	Optimized MSE and PSNR	Entropy not considered
[26]	K-SVD technique for redundant information	Reliability, MSE	Increased Reliability, Reduced MSE	Non-optimized entropy associated with text

A recurrent limitation across various papers was the absence of explicit consideration for entropy during optimization, as seen in [7], [22], [24], and [25]. While these methods effectively reduced noise and improved metrics such as MSE and PSNR, the impact on entropy, an essential aspect of image quality, remained largely unexplored.

In terms of optimization studies, some papers hinted at potential strategies. For instance, [19] suggested the possibility of entropy enhancement as part of the LSE algorithm optimization, showcasing a path for future improvements in noise mitigation techniques.

IV. RESEARCH GAP

Many existing techniques focus on noise handling, but the optimization of parameters such as entropy is often overlooked. Entropy reflects the degree of relationship between pixels, a crucial aspect not thoroughly considered in current literature. Additionally, the absence of redundancy removal strategies in median filtering is notable. Integrating redundancy handling mechanisms into noise handling strategies has the potential to significantly reduce the size of image representations. Therefore, for future advancements, the utilization of adaptive median filtering coupled with redundancy handling mechanisms can enhance parameters like PSNR and entropy, while also reducing MSE.

V. CONCLUSION

Image distortion caused by noise can significantly impact the quality of digital media, leading to corrupted information representation. Sources of noise can vary, including image acquisition and transmission mechanisms. Various noise handling mechanisms, with filtering being a common approach, have been developed to address this issue. While adaptive median filtering stands out for its versatility in handling different types of noise, it does not inherently adjust the size of the image representation. To address this limitation, incorporating redundancy handling mechanisms within the median filter can optimize parameters such as PSNR, MSE, and entropy. This approach holds promise for achieving a more comprehensive solution to image noise-related challenges.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Huda, J. Yearwood, H. F. Jelinek, M. M. Hassan, and M. Buckland, "A hybrid feature selection with ensemble classification for imbalanced healthcare data: A case study for brain tumor diagnosis," Neural Information Processing, vol.**3536**, no. c, pp.**1–13, 2016.**

[2] P. Yuvarani, "Image Denoising and Enhancement for Lung Cancer Detection using Soft Computing Technique," in International Conference on Image Processing, pp.**27–30, 2012.**

[3] I. H. Witten, A. Moffat, and T. C. Bell, "Managing gigabytes: compressing and indexing documents and images," **1999.**

[4] B. V. Kiranmayee, T. V. Rajinikanth, and S. Nagini, "Enhancement of SVM based MRI Brain Image Classification using Pre-Processing Techniques," August, p. **9, 2016.**

[5] D. Selvaraj, "MRI BRAIN IMAGE SEGMENTATION TECHNIQUES - A REVIEW," Computer Science and Technology, vol.4, no.5, pp.**364–381, 2013.**

[6] S. Begum, D. Chakraborty, and R. Sarkar, "Data Classification Using Feature Selection and kNN Machine Learning Approach," in International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Communication Networks, pp.**811–814, 2015.**

[7] A. Singh, "Analysis of Image Noise Removal Methodologies for High-Density Impulse Noise," vol.3, no. 6, pp.**659–665, 2014.**

[8] A. Rani, A. K. Bhullar, D. Dangwal, and S. Kumar, "A Zero-Watermarking Scheme using Discrete Wavelet Transform," pp.**603–609, 2015.**

[9] I. D. T. IDT, B. Goossens, and W. Philips, "MRI Segmentation of the Human Brain: Challenges, Methods, and Applications," **2015.**
[10] P. Singh, "A Comparative Study to Noise Models and Image Restoration Techniques," vol.**149**, no.**1**, pp.**18–27, 2016.**
[11] T. K. Djidjou, D. A. Bevans, S. Li, and A. Rogachev, "Observation of Shot Noise in Phosphorescent Organic Light-Emitting Diodes," vol.**61**, no.**9**, pp.**3252–3257, 2014.**
[12] S. H. Teoh and H. Ibrahim, "Median Filtering Frameworks for Reducing Impulse Noise from Grayscale Digital Images: A Literature Survey," vol.**1**, no.**4**, pp.**4–7, 2012.**
[13] C. Khare and K. K. Nagwanshi, "Image Restoration Technique with Non-Linear Filters," pp.**1–5, 2011.**
[14] S. Shrestha, "Image Denoising Using New Adaptive-Based Median Filter," Signal Image Process., vol.**5**, no.4, pp.**1–13, 2014.**
[15] E. A. Kumari, "A Survey on Filtering Technique for Denoising Images in Digital Image Processing," vol.**4**, no.**8**, pp.**612–614, 2014.**
[16] G. Deng and L. W. Cahill, "An Adaptive Gaussian Filter for Noise Reduction and Edge Detection," pp.**1615–1619, 1993.**
[17] E. E. Kerre, D. Van De Ville, M. Nachtegael, D. Van Der Weken, and E. E. Kerre, "Noise reduction by fuzzy image filtering," IEEE, p. 125050, January **2013.**
[18] T. R. Jeyalakshmi and K. Ramar, "A Modified Method for Speckle Noise Removal in Ultrasound Medical Images," vol. 2, no. 1, pp.**54–58, 2010.**
[19] R. Pandey, A. Awasthi, and V. Srivastava, "Comparison between Bit Error Rate and Signal to Noise Ratio in OFDM Using LSE," pp.**463–466, 2013.**
[20] K. M. S. Raju, M. S. Nasir, and T. M. Devi, "Filtering Techniques to reduce Speckle Noise and Image Quality Enhancement methods on Satellite Images," vol.15, no.4, pp.**10–15, 2013.**
[21] A. June, "Fuzzy-Based New Algorithm For Noise Removal And Edge Detection," vol.2, no.2, **2014.**
[22] P. S. J. Sree, P. Kumar, R. Siddavatam, and R. Verma, "Salt-and-pepper noise removal by adaptive median-based lifting filter using second-generation wavelets," vol.7, no.1, pp.**111–118, 2011.**
[23] F. Khalvati, "Computational Redundancy in Image Processing," Image (Rochester, N.Y.), November **2008.**
[24] X. Zhang, X. Li, Z. Tang, S. Zhang, and S. Xie, "Noise removal in embedded image with bit approximation," IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, vol.**34**, no.3, pp.**1359–1369, 2020.**
[25] A. H. Pilevar, S. Saien, M. Khandel, and B. Mansoori, "A new filter to remove salt and pepper noise in color images," Signal, Image Video Process., vol.9, no.4, pp.**779–786, 2015.**
[26] M. Elad and M. Aharon, "Image denoising via sparse and redundant representations over learned dictionaries," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol.15, no.12, pp.**3736–3745, 2006.**

AUTHORS PROFILE

Dr. Vikas Mongia pursed Master of Computer Application from GHCMT, Punjabi University, Patiala in year 2005. He has obtained his Ph.D. from Guru Kahsi University, Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda and currently working as an Assistant Professor in Department of Computer Science, Guru Nanak College Moga. He has more than 15 years of teaching experience and 6 years of Research Experience.

