

# A Survey on Multi hop Wireless Network for efficient schedule algorithms

**B. Abhishek Reddy**

Gokaraju Rangaraju Institute of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26438/ijcse/v7i6.941946> | Available online at: [www.ijcseonline.org](http://www.ijcseonline.org)

Accepted: 14/Jun/2019, Published: 30/Jun/2019

**Abstract-** In wireless communication networks like STDMA Networks give a fruitful response for engaging Wireless gadgets to get the chance to arrange resources with sensibility and viability. Right when numerous gathering correspondences are completed in such Networks, the scheduling algorithm should deliver fitting point table projects of all the programs where the objectives intend to decrease the framework length. Study the issue of conveying a capable logbook course of action for different gathering interchanges over the STDMA node scheduling framework suggested in the process of integrated multiple-group communication and traffic-oriented node scheduling (IMCTNS) issue. It is exhibited that the IMCTNS issue could be point by point as a integer linear programming (ILP) issue. A polynomial-point brought together heuristic scheduling algorithm, appointed as broadcasting Level-by-Level Scheduling (B-LBLS), is suggested for choosing the point table arrangement subject to broadcast prerequisites. To update a dimensional use capability inside every accessibility, a moved type of B-LBLS, appointed as Collision-Allowed Level-by-Level Scheduling (CA-LBLS), is future subject to adapted outline-based impedance illustrate. It is publicized that appeared differently in relation to present TDMA and STDMA-based algorithms. Here examined the whole present part with the reason of gainful scheduling.

**Keywords:** Multi hop wireless networks, node scheduling algorithm, wireless mesh networks, load balancing

## I. Introduction

Multi hop wireless Networks are a perspective in Wireless accessibility is used adequately into an arrangement of framework settings, inclusive of extraordinarily designated Networks, Wireless sensor Networks, and Wireless mesh Networks. In these Networks, the Wireless gadgets may talk with one another in a circulated plan and structure a framework, where widely appealing Wireless nodes go about as switches also onward traffic to various nodes in the framework.

In light of their various practical ideal conditions and their broad utilize, there has been a lot of focuses on the execution of multihop Wireless Networks. For instance, the accessibility of a multihop Wireless framework was thought beneath different direct figures. Moreover, their ability has gathered logically. Besides security belongings of scheduling game plans for most noteworthy throughput in Multi hop Radio Networks gathered. And a united scheduling algorithm calculation to underscore on respectability has projected. Makers concentrated on the united scheduling and guiding issue by weight changing in multi-radio, multi-channel and multi-ricochet Wireless mesh Networks. They in like manner arranged a cross-layer calculation by considering throughput increase with weight altering. Algorithms for united power control, scheduling, and controlling has displayed. In stack modifying issue a thick Wireless multihop organize be nitty gritty wherever the makers displayed a universal framework for examining the traffic load coming about in light of a given course of action of ways and traffic requests.

TDMA based scheduling answer for Wireless mesh Networks subject to a simulated algorithm will not consider the traffic essentials. An improved simulated algorithm is associated for essentialness beneficial sensor scheduling. Reference investigates the little scale space scheduling issue in TDMA based Wireless mesh Networks, and it anticipates a disperse the algorithm for relegating little openings to nodes as per their traffic necessities.

Profitable traffic load modifying and channel get to are fundamental to equip thick and continuously assorted association of bleeding edge 5G Wireless establishment. Occupy connections in 5G Networks challenges inalienable tests related through the present cell Networks for instance sensibility, flexible rate control, resource reservation, consistent traffic support, versatility, throughput and deferral. For example, having the ability to repeat as well as calendar opening assignment engages continuously flexible and complex multi-region impedance the administrator's methods. TDMA is used to direct the co-level obstacle from point space perception in ultra-thick little cell Networks. The exhibiting also the streamlining of weight modifying accept a huge activity in the advantage appropriation in the bleeding edge cell Networks.

We revolve around the issue of node scheduling for multi hop Wireless Networks. In the node scheduling issue, every broadcast chance is doled out to a great deal of nodes which ensure so as to there will be no common impedance along with some transmitting nodes. Even major unequivocally, under node scheduling, two nodes may consigned a

comparable accessibility (and transmit in the mean point.) if they don't have any ordinary neighbors.

## II. Survey on Multi hop Wireless Network:

### “Heuristic approach for broadcast scheduling, problem in wireless mesh networks,”

Debacles may be typical and human began cases that meddle with standard working of public on a generous range. The Area where calamities have happened makes dangers general society of that zone and to the rescue gathering.. The Debacle makes the damage the correspondence compose establishment as well. At the point when the correspondence establishment is hurt, it is difficult to the rescue gatherings to viably draw in with assistance undertaking. To deal with these dangers, particular Wireless advances can be begun in the zone of the catastrophe. This paper looks at the imaginative Wireless advancement for a debacle the board. Specifically, issues related to the impart planning issue in Wireless work organize is passed on successfully in the midst of a fiasco easing are discussed. A space unequivocal mimetic calculation is projected for appreciating the perfect point division numerous passages impart planning issue in Wireless work Networks. The fact is assembling the hard and fast no. of broadcasts in improved calendar opening with high redirect use in a short count point

### “A collaboration-based distributed TDMA scheduling algorithm for data collection in wireless sensor networks”

For information gathering application in WSN's, it's a strong endeavor to ensure impedance careful opening task passed on TDMA. This paper projected another scheduling algorithm subject to the organized exertion of nodes to decide the opening impact when nodes try to dole out spaces to them. The period of framework presentation is isolated into rounds. The organized exertion involved three stages: REQ, REPLY, and ACK are utilized to ensure the deterrent careful space undertaking in the midst of every round. The opening data are traded when the node executes encouraging exertion.

### “What will 5G be?” 2014.

What will 5G be? What it won't be is a slow advancement on 4G. The previous four points of cell advancement have been an important change in perspective that has broken backward comparability. Also, without a doubt, 5G ought to be an adjustment in perspective that consolidates extraordinarily large barrier frequencies with enormous band widths, outrageous base station, and contraption masses and remarkable measures of reception apparatuses. Regardless, as opposed to the previous four ages, it will in like manner be outstandingly combinatory: Simple observation of the high-rate and consistent customer

experience with LTE and WiFi interface and a range of air equals no new 5G.Medium framework for this assistance, flexibility and knowledge required to be of extraordinary dimensions, should re-evaluate and improve the control of the control and the ability to clear the dynamic basis of the cost of emergency and considerations

### “A survey of TDMA scheduling schemes in wireless multi hop networks,”

Here are the major issues in Wireless multi hop network is the scheduling of broadcasts in a sensible and capable way. TDMA is apparently one of the common responses for accomplishing this objective since this is a fundamental arrangement and the gadgets' lifelong postponement by empowering to carry only a part of the point in the midst of talk. Subsequently, a couple of TDMA can be found in the composition. The degree of this paper is to arrange current TDMA scheduling algorithms subject to a couple of parts, for instance, the component is arranged, the framework topology information is required to convey or keep up the scheduling, and the substance or components play out the count that produces and keeps up date-books, and to analyze the focal points and obstructions about every grouping.

### “Approximate consensus in stochastic networks with application to load balancing,”

It is given to the deduced accord issue for stochastic Networks of nonlinear experts with trading topology, clamorous, and conceded data about administrator states. An area throwing a poll tradition with non-vanishing (e.g., unflinching) advance size is reviewed under point-changing states of pros. To dismember components of the shut circle system, the alleged technique for landed at the midpoint of models is used. It empowers us to diminish examination complexity of the shut circle stochastic structure. We decide as far as possible for mean square partition between states of the basic stochastic system and its estimated found the center estimation of a model. These maximum cutoff points are used to get conditions for assessed accord achievement.

### “Local voting: Optimal distributed node scheduling algorithm for multihop wireless networks,”

A beneficial and sensible node planning is a noteworthy test in multi hop Wireless Networks. In this work, we suggest a scattered node scheduling algorithm, called Local Voting. The concept begins by discovering the most concise transport point or deferral is procured when the load is leveled all through the framework. Amusement outcomes show that Local Voting accomplishes best execution to the extent typical delay, most extraordinary deferral, and fairness appeared differently in relation to a couple of operators planning calculations from the composition.

## III. Problem definition

Expect that  $N$  gather interchanges are all the while executed inside multiple-ricochet Wireless framework and that  $S_i$  addresses course of action of broadcast nodes inside the source-express tree set up at the node  $I$ , where  $I$  lie among one and  $N$ . In every circle of the time table packaging, every source-express tree should be instituted absolutely for one point. Furthermore, in choosing the timetable progression, the planning calculation should fulfill the going with limits:

Rule 1: With the exception of a source node, a node inside a source-unequivocal tree may be orchestrated into a period opening if and just in the event that it has effectively gotten data from its upstream center point inside a similar social occasion.

Rule 2: The Different node may be prompted in a relative point opening if and just in the event that they are sans trouble.

Rule 3: Every point space inside logbook edge must be dispensed no short of what one sanctioned node.

#### Scheduling Algorithms for IMCTNS Problem

In the IMCTNS issue, the schedule framework length is simpler in the scheduling algorithm and the expected barrier performance. For instance, scheduling delay may be achieved if the schedule do not be able to disclose broadcast rights on dissimilar source-express trees in the algorithm framework. In addition to these lines, all of the extended-out edge length broadcasts must be completed. Similarly, the schedule framework length is further expanded when the resistance is shown when used to construct controversial free boundaries in the pairs of nodes for each chart. Therefore, the performance of STDMA networks requires performance ( throughput and broadcast delay) to perform the appropriate barrier.

#### Broadcasting Level-by-Level Scheduling (B-LBLS) Algorithm

This algorithm suggests a heuristic scheduling algorithm relegated as broadcasting Level-by-Level Scheduling (B-LBLS) for handling the IMCTNS issue. Furthermore, contention hand-out diagrams be settled for the framework, the B-LBLS calculation has executed by three-advance process. Calculation starts through orchestrating most of the source-express trees in the framework inside a solitary amazed overlap chart to restrain the scheduling delay and progress the dimensional recycle.

Having assembled the stunned overlap chart, the B-LBLS calculation consigns the broadcast rights upon dimension by level reason; Initially the most elevated layer in the diagram. For every overlap stage, the B-LBLS calculation chooses the number of started points needed for every contention free chart. Generally speaking, a comparable node may appear to be on various occasions inside a comparable overlay level,

e.g., in light of the way that is worn through a couple of upstream nodes for sending purpose or in light of the fact that two pivot streams experience the node at the same point. Along these lines, to thwart impedance and to oblige interface limit objectives, the B-LBLS calculation distributes different point openings to a comparable clash free diagram (i.e., when space for every presence of the node in a substitute tree) to such a degree, that the broadcasts of the impressive number of trees be capable of adequately wrapped up.

#### Collision-Allowed Level-by-Level Scheduling (CA-LBLS) Algorithm

Though successful scheduling calculations may decrease the schedule framework length by extending the no. of nodes authorized inside every point opening, the best no. of dimensionally reusable nodes are up 'til now forced by the structure of the looking at strife handout diagrams.

In the B-LBLS calculation, the contentions charts are settled utilizing the standard diagram base impedance appear earlier the scheduling algorithm appropriates point spaces for the node broadcasts. By the day's end, the charts are created explicitly from the bidirectional topology and neglect to survey the traffic conditions. Subsequently, the framework execution is ominously impacted by two issues, to be explicit the revealed terminal issue and the fixed confirmation issue.

#### CA-LBLS algorithm

This algorithm suggested a redesigned adjustment of the B-LBLS algorithm allotted as Collision Allowed Level-by-Level Scheduling (CA-LBLS), where a traffic-situated impedance approach be made to create the contention handout charts that decide the revealed terminal and fixed confirmation issues portrayed already. Rather than the B-LBLS calculation, diagrams are expressly specially designed to the traffic streams, also thusly intensify the latent for dimensional recycle inside every point space. In the B-LBLS algorithm, the CA-LBLS algorithm covers can be solved once the unproductive trees originate. All things are taken into consideration, and the long-term motion lines of the convention will become an amazing superimpose chart, and limitations are based on quantitative reasons. In any case, rather than the B-LBLS algorithm, struggle hand out diagrams are demonstrated for every dimension of the superimpose structure, that is the contention free charts are settled similarly according to the physical association data from level  $p$  and level  $p + 1$ , where level  $p$  includes the broadcast nodes and level  $p + 1$  incorporates the getting nodes.

#### TDMA-based scheduling algorithm

In order not to fight in the node's node, the TDMA-based schedule algorithm only promotes a unique gadget at the beginning every point. Thus, when the group uses the set-

express tree in the organization, the node inside the tree will be available for point zones based on their primary requests.

#### STDMA-based scheduling algorithm

STDMA scheduling calculations use a Dimensional recycle procedure to overhaul the framework execution i.e., Two pairs of nodes be allowed to be stimulated simultaneously, and their broadcasts are not effective in comparative point space. Nevertheless, the calendar gathering dismisses traffic stream thoughts and is assembled rather concerning a particular demand (e.g., a pre-chosen course of action of the contention hand out charts or any doled-out progression). Furthermore, while a period space is disseminated towards a particular clash hand out diagram, the nodes inside this chart be impelled paying little heed to whether they truly have any information to transmit.

#### IV. Performance of Experimental Algorithms

The execution of the four scheduling algorithms was evaluated similarly to two estimations, explicitly the schedule frame length and the dimensional use. Here, schedule frame length is described like hard and fast number of point spaces necessary accomplishing the broadcasts of all the source-express trees one point, while the dimensional use is portrayed as the ordinary number of sanctioned nodes per point opening. A dimensional use regard more critical

than one demonstrates that each point opening has no short of what one impelled node. In that capacity, a couple of nodes are permitted to transmit all the while in some point spaces inside the calendar outline. Then again, a dimensional use regard shy of what one demonstrates that some point spaces have no started nodes. To analyze the impacts of obstruction in the schedule frame length, the assessment tests utilized the framework degree criterion to control the amount of contention free charts inside the framework topology.

The CA-LBLS and B-LBLS algorithms improve the dimensional use inside every point opening also appoint the point spaces according to the traffic stream. Thus, they have the Framework Degree (D) framework measure (n) to accomplish a smaller scheduling frame length than the TDMA or STDMA based algorithms for all expectations. Moreover, the contention hand out charts delivered by the CA-LBLS shows a smaller schedule framework length than those made through the customary diagram-based obstruction show (B-LBLS and STDMA-based) since they enable the amount of all the while sanctioned nodes to be satisfactorily upgraded. Large framework degree prompts more clash handout diagrams utilized in the framework and in this manner every chart consists a few started nodes

Table1: Broadcast Centralized Scheduling Algorithms Overview

Ref.	Method	Perf. Metrics			Advantages	Disadvantages
		Thr.	Fair.	Del.		
[Ephremides and Truong 1990]	GrA	-	-	-	Simple.	Suitable only for a few real-life network environments.
SVC-NCNN [Shi and Wang 2005a]	GT and NN	-	-	✓	Decreased average time delays.	1. Difficult to determine the optimum choice of the parameters. 2. Optimizing slot utilization and frame length separately does not lead to a good solution with respect to both criteria.
MFA [Wang and Ansari 1997]	SA	-	-	✓	Provides maximum channel utilization.	1. Time-consuming process. 2. There is no ideal method to determine optimal parameters for the MFA procedure.
SVC [Yeo et al. 2002]	GT	✓	-	✓	Can find near-optimal solutions in respect to system delay.	Long calculation time is needed to determine the optimum choice of the parameters.
MGA [Chakraborty 2004]	GA	✓	-	-	Generates a search space with valid solutions.	Optimizing slot utilization and frame length separately does not lead to a good solution with respect to both criteria.
GAFCS [Gunasekaran et al. 2010]	GA	✓	-	-	The population evolves at a faster rate than classical GA algorithms.	Not suitable for dense networks with heavy traffic load.

[Ngo and Li 2003]	GA	-	-	-	At each repetition, the search space is reduced.	Optimizing slot utilization and frame length separately does not lead to a good solution with respect to both criteria.
[Sen and Huson 1997]	GT	-	-	✓	Simple.	Suitable only for a few world network environments.
MNN [Takefuji et al. 1992]	NN	-	-	✓	1. Always guarantees valid solutions. 2. Reduces the search space without the burden of parameter tuning.	Difficult to reach an optimum solution due to the larger crossbar switch problems.
BSC-NCNN [Shi and Wang 2005b]	GT and NN	-	-	✓	Decreased average time delays.	1. Long calculation time is needed to determine the optimum choice of the parameters. 2. Optimizing slot utilization and frame length separately does not lead to a good solution with respect to both criteria.
[Bi et al. 2005]	NN	-	-	-	1. Introduces richer and more flexible nonlinear dynamics. 2. Usually converges to a stable equilibrium point.	Optimizing slot utilization and frame length separately does not lead to a good solution with respect to both criteria.
H-NCNN [Sun et al. 2010]	NN	-	-	✓	Increases the effective convergence toward optimal or near-optimal solutions at higher noise levels.	Optimizing slot utilization and frame length separately does not lead to a good solution with respect to both criteria.
TS-GR [Peng et al. 2004]	TS and GrA	✓	-	✓	Simple.	1. Time-consuming process. 2. Optimizing slot utilization and frame length separately does not lead to a good solution with respect to both criteria.
FC-HNN [Xizheng and Yaonan 2008]	GT and NN	-	-	✓	Short frame length.	Optimizing slot utilization and frame length separately does not lead to a good solution with respect to both criteria.
[Vergados et al. 2005]	O	✓	✓	-	Simple.	A greedy approximation.
[Sgora et al. 2008a]	O	✓	✓	-	Takes into consideration the communication requirements of the active flows.	Not suitable for networks with heavy load conditions.
FSMA [Ahmad et al. 2008]	O	✓	-	✓	1. Explores complex solution space in smaller CPU time. 2. Achieves minimum frame length and the maximum slot utilization in a relatively shorter time.	Does not take into account QoS (bandwidth or delay requirements) when determining the broadcast schedule.

Abbreviations used in this table: GrA, Greedy Algorithm; GT, Graph Theory; NN, Neural Networks; O, Other; GA, Genetic Algorithm.

### V. Conclusion

This paper has analyzed the issue of improving the transmission execution of multiple-group, traffic-oriented communications in STDMA node scheduling networks. It

has been demonstrated that the issue of scheduling the node transmissions in a STDMA arrange a subject to the imperative of limiting the general schedule frame length can be detailed as an integer ILP improvement issue. A

polynomial-time concentrated heuristic scheduling algorithm, assigned as B-LBLS, has been proposed for creating a proper schedule arrangement for multiple-group communications by improving the spatial usage inside each time opening while simultaneously fulfilling the transmission imperatives. To additionally upgrade the spatial usage, a second algorithm, assigned as CA-LBLS, has been proposed in which the uncovered terminal and static assurance issues intrinsic in B-LBLS are settled by methods for a changed conflict-free graph development technique.

### References

- [1] W. Kiess and M. Mauve, "A survey on real-world implementations of mobile ad-hoc networks," *Ad Hoc Netw.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 324–339, 2007.
- [2] G. J. Pottie, "Wireless sensor networks," in *Proc. IEEE Inf. Theory Workshop*, Jun. 1998, pp. 139–140.
- [3] I. F. Akyildiz, X. Wang, and W. Wang, "Wireless mesh networks: A survey," *Comput. Netw.*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 445–487, 2005.
- [4] C. Bettstetter and C. Hartmann, "Connectivity of wireless multihop networks in a shadow fading environment," *Wireless Netw.*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 571–579, 2005.
- [5] P. Gupta and P. R. Kumar, "Critical power for asymptotic connectivity in wireless networks," in *Stochastic Analysis, Control, Optimization and Applications*. Boston, MA, USA: Birkhäuser, 1999, pp. 547–566.
- [6] P. Gupta and P. R. Kumar, "The capacity of wireless networks," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 388–404, Mar. 2006.
- [7] J. Li, C. Blake, D. S. J. De Couto, H. I. Lee, and R. Morris, "Capacity of ad hoc wireless networks," in *Proc. 7th Annu. Int. Conf. Mobile Comput. Netw.*, 2001, pp. 61–69.
- [8] M. Grossglauser and D. N. C. Tse, "Mobility increases the capacity of ad hoc wireless networks," *IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 477–486, Aug. 2002.
- [9] S. Weber, J. G. Andrews, and N. Jindal, "An overview of the transmission capacity of wireless networks," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 58, no. 12, pp. 3593–3604, Dec. 2010.
- [10] L. Tassiulas and A. Ephremides, "Stability properties of constrained queueing systems and scheduling policies for maximum throughput in multihop radio networks," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 1936–1948, Dec. 1992.
- [11] X. Lin and N. B. Shroff, "Joint rate control and scheduling in multihop wireless networks," in *Proc. 43rd IEEE Conf. Decision Control (CDC)*, vol. 2, Dec. 2004, pp. 1484–1489.
- [12] N. Ben Salem and J.-P. Hubaux, "A fair scheduling for wireless mesh networks," in *Proc. IEEE Workshop Wireless Mesh Netw. (WiMesh)*, Sep. 2005.
- [13] Z. Ning, L. Guo, Y. Peng, and X. Wang, "Joint scheduling and routing algorithm with load balancing in wireless mesh network," *Comput. Elect. Eng.*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 533–550, 2012.
- [14] Y. Li and A. Ephremides, "A joint scheduling, power control, and routing algorithm for ad hoc wireless networks," *Ad Hoc Netw.*, vol. 5, no. 7, pp. 959–973, 2007.
- [15] R. L. Cruz and A. V. Santhanam, "Optimal routing, link scheduling and power control in multihop wireless networks," in *Proc. 22nd Annu. Joint Conf. IEEE Comput. Commun. (INFOCOM)*, vol. 1, Mar./Apr. 2003, pp. 702–711.
- [16] E. Hyttia and J. Virtamo, "On load balancing in a dense wireless multihop network," in *Proc. 2nd Conf. Next Generat. Internet Design Eng. (NGI)*, 2006, p. 8.
- [17] A. Sgora, D. J. Vergados, and D. D. Vergados, "A survey of TDMA scheduling schemes in wireless multihop networks," *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 47, no. 3, p. 53, 2015.
- [18] R. Gunasekaran, S. Siddharth, P. Krishnaraj, M. Kalaiarasan, and V. R. Uthariaraj, "Efficient algorithms to solve broadcast scheduling problem in WiMAX mesh networks," *Comput. Commun.*, vol. 33, no. 11, pp. 1325–1333, 2010.
- [19] J.-S. Li, K.-H. Liu, and C.-H. Wu, "Efficient group multicast node scheduling schemes in multi-hop wireless networks," *Comput. Commun.*, vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 1247–1258, 2012.
- [20] C.-T. Chiang, H.-C. Chen, W.-H. Liao, and K.-P. Shih, "A decentralized minislot scheduling protocol (DMSP) in TDMA-based wireless mesh networks," *J. Netw. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 37, pp. 206–215, Jan. 2014.
- [21] D. Arivudainambi and D. Rekha, "Heuristic approach for broadcast scheduling, problem in wireless mesh networks," *AEU-Int. J. Electron. Commun.*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 489–495, 2014.
- [22] Y. Liu, V. O. K. Li, K.-C. Leung, and L. Zhang, "Topology-transparent distributed multicast and broadcast scheduling in mobile ad hoc networks," in *Proc. IEEE 75th Veh. Technol. Conf. (VTC Spring)*, May 2012, pp. 1–5.
- [23] B. Zeng and Y. Dong, "A collaboration-based distributed TDMA scheduling algorithm for data collection in wireless sensor networks," *J. Netw.*, vol. 9, no. 9, pp. 2319–2327, 2014.
- [24] C. Xu, Y. Xu, Z. Wang, and H. Luo, "A topology-transparent MAC scheduling algorithm with guaranteed QoS for multihop wireless network," *J. Control Theory Appl.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 106–114, 2011.
- [25] N. Lam, M. K. An, D. T. Huynh, and T. Nguyen, "Broadcast scheduling problem in SINR model," *Int. J. Found. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 331–342, 2014.
- [26] D. Arivudainambi and S. Balaji, "Improved memetic algorithm for energy efficient sensor scheduling with adjustable sensing range," *Wireless Pers. Commun.*, vol. 95, no. 2, pp. 1737–1758, 2016.
- [27] Y. Liu, V. O. K. Li, K.-C. Leung, and L. Zhang, "Performance improvement of topology-transparent broadcast scheduling in mobile ad hoc networks," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 63, no. 9, pp. 4594–4605, Nov. 2014.
- [28] X. Tian, J. Yu, L. Ma, G. Li, and X. Cheng, "Distributed deterministic broadcasting algorithms under the SINR model," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, Apr. 2016, pp. 1–9.
- [29] J. G. Andrews et al., "What will 5G be?" *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1065–1082, Jun. 2014.
- [30] N. Panwar, S. Sharma, and A. K. Singh, "A survey on 5g: The next generation of mobile communication," *Phys. Commun.*, vol. 18, pp. 64–84, Mar. 2016. [Online]. Available: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1874490715000531>
- [31] J. Li, X. Wu, and R. Laroia, *OFDMA Mobile Broadband Communications: A Systems Approach*, 1st ed. New York, NY, USA: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2013.
- [32] J. Xiao, C. Yang, J. Wang, and H. Dai, "Joint interference management in ultra-dense small cell networks: A multi-dimensional coordination," in *Proc. 8th Int. Conf. Wireless Commun. Signal Process. (WCSP)*, Oct. 2016, pp. 1–5.
- [33] J. Andrews, S. Singh, Q. Ye, X. Lin, and H. Dhillon, "An overview of load balancing in HetNets: Old myths and open problems," *IEEE Wireless. Commun.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 18–25, Apr. 2014.
- [34] D. J. Vergados, N. Amelina, Y. Jiang, K. Kravlevska, and O. Granichin, "Local voting: Optimal distributed node scheduling algorithm for multihop wireless networks," in *Proc. INFOCOM Workshop*, Atlanta, GA, USA, May 2017, pp. 931–932.
- [35] N. Amelina, A. Fradkov, Y. Jiang, and D. J. Vergados, "Approximate consensus in stochastic networks with application to load balancing," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1739–1752, Apr. 2015.